1

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

25

DEC 1 0 2010

JEAN DONNA McQUALITY
Deputy

CHRISTOPHER B. DUPONT SBN#014158 TRAUTMAN DUPONT, PLC 1726 North Seventh Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Tel: 602.344.0038
Fax: 602.344.0043
dupontlaw@cox.net
Attorney for Victims

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff.

VS.

STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,

Defendant.

Case No. P1300CR20081339

REQUEST TO UNSEAL DOCUMENTS AND TRANSCRIPTS FOR VICTIMS' ATTORNEY

FILED UNDER SEAL

Honorable Thomas Darrow Division 6

Come now the victims Charlotte Rose and Katherine Gray DeMocker, by and through undersigned counsel, and request this Court unseal documents and transcripts and allow distribution to the attorney, Chris Kottke.

During the course of the proceedings, the prosecution has repeatedly and consistently violated victims' rights, as demonstrated by even a cursory review of the record. The most recent violation involves "intimidation, harassment and abuse throughout the criminal justice process." Article II, §2.1.1, Arizona Constitution. The abuse has become so pronounced that the prosecution has now falsely and maliciously accused the victims, the victims attorneys, fired

AUG 18

DIVISION 6

father's attorneys, and who know who else of all manner of criminal conduct. Mind you: these accusations are aimed at the most well-respected and prominent attorneys in the United States.

The accusations have taken several forms, as the prosecution has desperately attempted to derail their misguided prosecution of Steven DeMocker; the sealed documents relevant to these proceedings are as follows:

- State's Motion for Determination of Counsel filed July 12, 2010¹
- Motion for Protective Order filed August 2, 2010
- Memorandum filed August 6, 2010
- State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice filed August 2, 2010

In addition, the following sealed documents are relevant and the subject of this motion:

- Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice²
- Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice
- Defendant's Position on State's Motion for Determination of Counsel
- Defendant's Bench Memorandum on Procedures for Determining if an Unwaivable

Conflict Exists

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Transcript of Proceedings, July 9, 2010
- Transcript of Proceedings, July 14, 2010
- Transcript of Proceedings, August 3, 2010
- Transcript of Proceedings, August 4, 2010

¹ Chris Kottke received a copy of this pleading as attached to the State's ill-conceived Notice of Irregularities filed In the Matter of the Estate of Virginia Carol Kennedy. On information and belief, the Notice was dismissed out of hand by Judge Mackey.

² Undersigned Counsel does not have a copy of such pleading but believes such filing exists based upon receipt of a Response and a Reply to said pleading.

24

25

The victims have a right to counsel in the proceedings before the court. A.R.S. §13-4437. Both Charlotte and Katherine have retained undersigned counsel to represent them in the abovetitled proceedings. In addition, Katie has retained counsel to represent her In the Matter of the Estate of Her Mother; that counsel is Chris Kottke. As if Katie has not been through enough with the murder of her mother and the arrest and trial of her father, the state has now made allegations referenced above in the context of her actions - with the advice of Chris Kottke regarding her mother's estate and resulting pour-over trust.

Meanwhile, there remains some prospect Katie may be called as a witness regarding the trust and estate.

Given the state's continued harassment and intimidation, Katie has reason to fear the state will stop at nothing to convict her father, including accusing her of criminal conduct. As a result, she must be able to consult counsel not just to assert her victims' rights but to assure her right to counsel pursuant the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article 2, §24 of the Arizona Constitution. To be able to truly assert her rights, she must be able to meaningfully consult with both Chris Dupont and Chris Kottke.

Therefore, the victims request this Court unseal the listed documents (and any that may have been inadvertently omitted) for purposes of consulting with Chris Kottke.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of November 2009

TRAUTMAN DUPONT PLC

Christopher B. Dupont Attorney for Victim

'	
1	Original Mailed for Filing this 10 th day Of August 2010, to:
2	Clerk of Court, Yavapai County - Through Judge Duron
3 4	Copies e-mailed this same date, to:
5	Judge Darrow
6 7 8	Joseph Butner, Esq. Attorney Pappour Yavapai County Attorney 255 East Gurley Street
9	Prescott, AZ 86301-3868
10	Larry Hammond Anne Chapman
11	Osborn Maledon PC 2929 N. Central Ave., 21 st Floor
12	Phoenix, Az. 85012
13 14	John M. Sears PO Box 4080 Prescott, AZ 86302-4080
15	11000000, 112 00302 1000
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	